

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/532,808 | 04/26/2005 | Yoram Palti | P-5488-US | 8892 |
| 49443 DEADI COUE | 7590 12/27/2007 | | EXAMINER | |
| PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER, LLP 1500 BROADWAY 12TH FLOOR | | | SINGH, SATYENDRA K | |
| NEW YORK, | NY 10036 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1657 | |
| , | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/27/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Office Action Commons | 10/532,808 | PALTI ET AL. | | | | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | | | | |
| | Satyendra K. Singh | 1657 | | | | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133): Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 April 2005. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,6,8,9,11,12,14,15,17-19,22-25 and 27</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 8) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. · | | , . | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal (6) Other: | | | | | | | | | | |

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1,3,5,6,8,9,11,12,14,15,17-19,22-25 and 27.

10/532,808 Art Unit: 1657

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 3.1 and 37 CFR 1.475.

In accordance with these rules, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14 and 15, drawn to **a system** for *in vivo* detection of *H. pylori*, the system comprising an autonomous *in vivo* sensing device (configured for sensing in vivo pH and for transmitting *in vivo* data to a receiving unit) comprising an imager, and an external receiving unit as recited in claim 1.

Group II, claims 17-19, drawn to **a second system** for in vivo detection of *H. pylori*, the system comprising an autonomous *in vivo* sensing device comprising an imaging system and a transmitter, an external receiving unit, and a processor, as recited in claim 17.

Group III, claim 22-25 and 27, drawn to **A method** for *in vivo* detection of *H. pylori*, the method comprising sensing pH in at least one location proximate to a patient's stomach mucus, and transmitting by radio frequency pH data to an external receiving unit.

(a) An international or national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those invention involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The

Application/Control Number:

10/532,808 Art Unit: 1657

expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

- (b) An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:
- (1) a product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
 - (2) a product and a process of use of said product; or
- (3) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) a process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out said process; or
- (5) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out said process.(c) If an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, unity of invention might not be present.

The groups (I-III) of invention do not fall under any of the categories mentioned as above.

PCT Rule 13.2 does not provide for multiple compositions or multiple methods of use within a single application. Thus, the first appearing composition is combined with a

Application/Control Number:

10/532,808 Art Unit: 1657

corresponding first method of use and the additional composition and method claims each constitute a separate group.

In addition to the requirement that a group of inventions must belong to one of the specific categories provided by PCT Rule 13.2, the inventions in the category, such as a composition and a method of use of the composition, must have a special technical feature that unites them. See Patent Rules 1.475, where a special technical feature is a contribution OVER THE PRIOR ART.

Thus, the inventions listed as Groups (I-III) do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features as demonstrated above. Since the system AS CLAIMED (see instant claim 1, in particular) is known in the art, (see IDS submitted; Iddan et al, US 5, 604,531, abstract and figure 1, in particular; and Essen-Moller, US 5,657,759, abstract, and summary of the invention, in particular) no special technical feature unites these inventions in a category.

The expression "special technical feature" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art (PCT Rule 13.2). Thus, a feature found in the prior art cannot be considered to be a special technical feature.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10/532,808 Art Unit: 1657 Page 5

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satyendra K. Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-8790. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5MF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon P. Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Satyendra K. Singh Patent Examiner Art Unit 1657 SANDRA'E SONCIER PRIMARY PRAMINER